

# Addressing Gender Bias in Crime Data Reporting System: Implications for Women's Safety

Ms. Anna Randhawa Research Scholar Invictus International Amritsar

#### Abstract

**Purpose:** This study looks into the deep-rooted issue of gender bias in existing crime data reporting systems and looks at what this means for a safer future for women.

**Approach:** The paper underlines the reasons behind the underreporting of crime against women like stigmatization in society, fear of victim-blaming, and not trusting law enforcement agencies.

Further, the study demystifies how inherent biases exist in data gathering methodologies and thus tend to exclude gender-specific nuances of victimization. The study explores the analytical frameworks used by researchers and policymakers in the study of violence against women, showing that gender-blind approaches fail to account for the uniqueness and vulnerabilities of women.

Findings: Thus, the research finds an urgent necessity for a revolutionary approach to the reporting of crime data. This implies the implementation of gender-sensitive methods of data collection, such as disaggregated analysis, victim-oriented approaches, and the active engagement of women's organizations in data collection and analysis processes. In addition, this study underlines the importance of building trust among women and the law enforcement machinery through community-based initiatives and victims' support services.

Keywords: Gender Bias, domestic violence, assault, crime

## Introduction

Gender bias in crime data reporting systems refers to the systematic, unconscious ways gender influences how crimes are reported, recorded, and investigated. The consequences of gender bias in reporting crimes can create an inaccurate, incomplete picture of crime, particularly against women. The effects may be severe for victims as well as for the criminal justice system.



One of the most visible expressions of this bias is the underreporting of crimes against women. Societal pressures, fear of retaliation, and lack of trust in law enforcement may dissuade women from reporting sexual assault, domestic violence, and harassment. Underreporting leads to a skewed perception of the incidence of such crimes, thereby hampering effective action and prevention.

In addition, gender influence can also be seen in case classification and therefore the way investigation would be done. Crimes against women are trivialized, dismissed as very minor or even not taken seriously with similar crimes committed by men. These categorizations may lead to differences in arrest rates, prosecution rates, and sentencing outcomes. Investigators may inadvertently let their perceptions and actions be interfered with by gender stereotypes, reducing them from looking at or taking into consideration key evidence.

There are also media contributing factors to crime reporting gender bias. Women in crime are normally stereotyped as mentally disturbed or acting according to their emotion, while a man is assumed to be very rational and calculated. This therefore tends to nurture these stereotypes and feed discriminatory attitudes in society towards females who commit crime.

The impacts of gender bias in crime data reporting are far reaching. The misallocation of resources will be the first impact. Other impacts include poor crime prevention strategies, failure to understand the real nature of the crime, and thus undermining efforts toward justice for the victims as well as in establishing a more equitable and just society.

## Factors responsible for underreporting of crimes against women

The underreporting of crimes against women is a significant issue that hinders efforts to address and prevent violence against women. Several factors contribute to this underreporting, including:

#### 1. Stigma and Shame:

The pervasive stigma surrounding sexual violence and other crimes against women greatly deters their reporting. Victims often grapple with the fear of societal judgment, ostracization, and even blame for the crimes perpetrated against them. This fear stems from deeply ingrained societal beliefs that often question the victim's actions or attire, inadvertently shifting the focus from the perpetrator's culpability.



Another reason is that cultural norms emphasizing family honor or keeping silent over domestic issues form a great impediment to reporting. In most cultures, family reputation is held in higher regard than the well-being of the individual. Victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, or other forms of abuse may be coerced into remaining silent for fear of shame to their families. Societal pressure is particularly intense in communities where discussion of such sensitive matters is frowned upon.

The trauma the victim faces is compounded by fear-the fear of family members and community members not believing them, not supporting them, and even ostracizing them. They fear losing their social support networks and even facing serious consequences through their family structures. Such fear of social isolation and even possible familial repercussions might become an effective deterrent to seeking justice as well as the necessary support in order to heal.

These cultural norms and societal stigmas perpetuate a culture of silence. Perpetrators are then shielded from responsibility, continuing to commit harmful behavior. In the process, these societal pressures silence victims, deter reporting, and contribute to underestimates of the prevalence of crimes against women, thwarting efforts at addressing and preventing these heinous acts.

## 2. Fear of Victim-Blaming:

The fear of victim-blaming is a major deterrent to reporting crimes against women. Victims may expect to be asked about their clothing, behavior, or alcohol consumption, which they believe will make them partially responsible for the assault. This line of questioning can be very traumatizing and may discourage victims from coming forward because they fear being judged, shamed, and ultimately blamed for the crime committed against them.

Another factor may be the fear that their case will not be believed by the police or the judicial system. A victim may fear that his account will be treated with skepticism, disbelief, or even hostility. This mistrust of the system may arise from past experiences, negative media portrayal, or the general feeling that their voices are not heard and valued.

It is, therefore, even more devastating to survivors of sexual assault when the fear of not being believed has been a lingering nightmare for them because they have always been feeling ashamed, guilty, and blamed.



## 3. Lack of Trust in Law Enforcement:

As women have often lived with a very deep-seated distrust of their ability to detect and prosecute serious crimes against themselves, this interplay of such factors as adverse past experiences in dealing with such agencies, intrinsic problems within them, and, most importantly, the perception of the system to not work or be designed on the premise that women's lives are important has served as a cause for such fears.

One big reason is systemic problems in these agencies. Studies have indicated alarming rates of sexual misconduct and abuse within police departments. For example, the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine reported that between 2017 and 2020, there was an average of 1,007 substantiated allegations of sexual misconduct against police officers each year. These incidents, which often involve the abuse of power, erode public trust and create a climate of fear for women seeking justice. Furthermore, the notion of racial discrimination within the law enforcement department enhances this mistrust, especially towards women of color.

Studies indicate that Black women are highly represented among the victims of police violence. For instance, in a 2021 American Civil Liberties Union study, it was noted that Black women are 1.4 times more likely to be killed by police than their white counterparts. These disparities confirm the perception that the system is not fair and that women of color are not similarly protected and treated with the same respect as their white counterparts. The impact of these issues cannot be overstated. Women who do not trust the system are less likely to report crimes, allowing perpetrators to evade justice and continue their harmful behavior. This creates a cycle of impunity that perpetuates violence against women and undermines efforts to create a safe and just society.

## 4. Fear of Retaliation:

The fear of retaliation is a significant barrier that prevents many victims of crime from coming forward and seeking justice. This fear can manifest in various forms and stem from a complex interplay of power dynamics, social pressures, and personal vulnerabilities.

One of the most common sources of fear of retaliation is the perpetrator themselves. Intimate partner violence is characterized by coercive control; often, an abuser isolates the victim from resources, cuts off the victim's access to resources, and instills fear. The victims may be



fearful of reporting abuse to the perpetrator for fear of further physical violence or threats of physical violence. This fear often intensifies as the abuser holds power and control over various aspects of a victim's life, such as finances, house, or their social circle; the victim ends up feeling like a prisoner.

The victim can also fear to face retaliation through the perpetrator's acquaintances who are family friends or colleagues as they may even sympathize or connive to assist the abusive behavior. Victims may be afraid that they will be ostracized, discredited, or even more victimized by the perpetrator's network. In a context where the abuser has control or authority, such fear can be especially prevalent, like at a place of work or within a religious or community organization. In other cases, victims fear response from their own families or communities. This is especially common in societies where family dignity and social status are highly prized.

Abused members of the family would fear being blamed and shunned or even disowned if they speak out against their abuse. They may fear that their revelations will embarrass the family and upset the social balance. This fear can be compounded by cultural norms that make family harmony important and discourage airing of family disputes in public. The fear of retaliation can lead to disastrous outcomes for the victims of crime. It may bar them from receiving help, seeking support services, and seeking justice.

#### 5. Economic Dependence:

When women lack financial autonomy, they become trapped in abusive relationships, facing a cruel dilemma: endure the abuse or risk economic instability. This dependence manifests in various ways. Abusers often control their partners' finances, limiting their ability to save, make independent purchases, or even work. They can demand the control of bank accounts, credit cards, and other financial assets, leaving victims with no financial freedom. In addition, abusers often cut off their victims from family and friends, destroying their support systems and leaving them with no one to turn to for help.

This isolation heightens financial dependence by limiting victims' access to alternative sources of income or support. In addition, abusers sabotage their partners' careers by discouraging them from education, belittling their professional achievements, or even not allowing them to seek employment. This limits their earning potential but also affects their self-esteem and confidence. Probably the biggest obstacle in seeking help from domestic

violence victims is the fear of losing support or homes. Leaving a home can be disastrous financially, particularly for women who have children. Many of these victims might even fear losing a home and not being able to provide for their children; these fears can even be further driven by the expectations of the societal pressures and culture that often view men as primarily responsible for household income.

6. Lack of Awareness of Legal Rights and Resources:

Women face another hurdle- lack of awareness of their legal rights and resources. This ignorance leaves them feeling isolated, powerless, and trapped in abusive situations

Several others also lack the detailed laws that have been established concerning domestic violence against them. Restraining orders and protection orders to some extent. Assault and battery are not their cases, especially on legal considerations and reporting incidents. Consequently, they sit in the limbo of powerlessness and hence lack help

Another important point is that women do not know some of the very important support services available to them. These are shelters, counseling, legal aid, and support groups, among others, which have been crucial in helping victims of domestic violence get back to living their lives normally. Without knowing these resources, women may be unable to seek the support needed to escape the abusive situation and begin the healing process.

Is there a strong positive correlation between the proportion of female headed households and criminal activities in a geographical area?

A recurring hypothesis in criminology is that the existence of female-headed households tends to be directly proportional to criminal activity in a given space.

Social Disorganization Theory: The Old Gaze

Social disorganization theory, pioneered by Shaw and McKay (1969), posits that a community's ability to control its members significantly impacts criminal activity. Strong social ties and effective informal social controls within a neighborhood act as deterrents to

46



and the second s

crime. Conversely, factors like poverty, ethnic heterogeneity, weak family structures, and residential instability weaken these controls, leading to higher crime rates (Kubin & Weitzer, 2003).

This theory easily applies to female-headed households, which are often single-parent households. They may have weaker family ties, which contribute to a more disorganized social environment and, perhaps, a higher risk of crime. However, this theory has not been applied extensively to crimes against businesses, particularly the link between female ownership/management and criminal victimization.

Female-Owned Businesses: Targets for Crime?

There has been a conspicuous lack of crime against businesses on the basis of gender in business ownership or management. Therefore, the findings will need an alternate explanation based on other aspects; hence, an appropriate hypothesis:

Rationally, criminals will find female-owned/managed firms in developing countries where women rights are minimally less challenging to approach for crime. Based on rational choice theory, crime costs and benefits are weighed out by criminals, who target such firms that might be perceived as cheaper to commit criminal activities, and hence those that have a sizable number of women.

Inherent biases existing in data gathering methodologies

The inherent biases within data gathering methodologies often lead to an incomplete and distorted understanding of victimization, particularly when it comes to women.

1. Gender-Blind Data Collection:

Traditional data collection methods frequently employ a "gender-blind" approach, failing to account for the unique experiences and vulnerabilities of women. This approach often relies on broad categories that do not adequately capture the specific forms of victimization that disproportionately affect women, such as domestic violence, sexual assault, and cyberstalking.

47



- Domestic Violence: Domestic violence is often categorized broadly as "assault" or
  "family violence," masking the specific dynamics of power imbalances and control
  within intimate partner relationships. This lack of specificity hinders the development
  of targeted interventions and support services tailored to the unique needs of female
  victims.
- **Sexual Assault:** Sexual assault is often categorized as a single offense, failing to differentiate between various forms of sexual violence, including rape, sexual harassment, and online sexual abuse. This lack of differentiation can lead to an underestimation of the prevalence and severity of sexual violence against women.
- Cyberstalking: Cyberstalking is often categorized as a form of harassment or stalking, failing to capture the unique online behaviors and tactics used to target and intimidate women. This lack of specificity can hinder the development of effective legal and technological responses to cyberstalking.

## 2. Underreporting and Misclassification:

Women may be reluctant to report crimes due to fear of stigma, shame, or retaliation. Additionally, law enforcement officers may not always take women's reports seriously or may misclassify offenses, leading to an inaccurate portrayal of the true extent of victimization.

- Fear of Stigma and Shame: Women may fear being stigmatized or blamed for the violence they experience, leading them to remain silent. This is particularly true for crimes such as sexual assault and domestic violence, where victims may feel ashamed or embarrassed to come forward.
- Lack of Trust in Law Enforcement: Women may not trust law enforcement to take their reports seriously or to investigate their cases thoroughly. This lack of trust can be particularly prevalent in communities where women have experienced discrimination or mistreatment by law enforcement.
- Misclassification of Offenses: Law enforcement officers may misclassify offenses, such as downplaying the severity of domestic violence or failing to recognize cyberstalking as a distinct crime. This can lead to an underestimation of the prevalence and impact of these offenses.

## 3. Lack of Intersectionality:



Data collection methods often fail to account for the intersectional nature of victimization, overlooking how factors such as race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic status can exacerbate women's vulnerability. For example, women of color and LGBTQ+ women may experience higher rates of victimization due to multiple forms of discrimination.

- Race and Ethnicity: Women of color may experience higher rates of victimization due to systemic racism and discrimination. They may also face additional barriers to reporting crimes and accessing support services.
- **Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity:** LGBTQ+ women may experience higher rates of victimization due to homophobia and transphobia. They may also face unique challenges in accessing support services and reporting crimes.
- Socioeconomic Status: Women from low-income backgrounds may be more vulnerable to victimization due to economic insecurity and limited access to resources.
   They may also face additional barriers to reporting crimes and accessing support services.

#### 4. Focus on Individual-Level Factors:

Data collection methods often focus on individual-level factors, such as personal characteristics and behaviors, while neglecting the broader societal and structural factors that contribute to women's vulnerability. This focus on individual-level factors can lead to a narrow understanding of victimization and hinder the development of effective prevention and intervention strategies.

- Individual-Level Factors: Data collection methods often focus on individual-level factors such as age, education, and employment status. While these factors can be relevant, they do not fully explain the complex factors that contribute to women's vulnerability.
- Societal and Structural Factors: Societal and structural factors, such as gender inequality, poverty, and lack of access to resources, can significantly increase women's risk of victimization. These factors are often overlooked in data collection methods.

## The Need for Gender-Specific Frameworks



The use of gender-blind frameworks in policymaking has significant consequences. Policies that do not adequately address the gendered nature of violence against women often:

- Fail to Target the Root Causes of Violence: By focusing on individual-level factors or general crime prevention strategies, these policies fail to address the underlying power imbalances and societal norms that contribute to violence against women.
- **Perpetuate Stereotypes and Stigma:** Gender-blind approaches can reinforce harmful stereotypes about women, such as the notion that they are passive victims or that they are responsible for preventing violence.
- Inadequate Resource Allocation: Policies that do not recognize the specific needs of
  women may fail to allocate adequate resources to support services, such as shelters,
  counseling, and legal aid.

To effectively address the issue of violence against women, it is crucial to adopt analytical frameworks that explicitly consider gender as a central factor. These frameworks should:

- Acknowledge the Intersectionality of Gender: Recognize that gender intersects with other social identities, such as race, ethnicity, class, sexual orientation, and disability, to shape experiences of violence.
- Focus on Power Dynamics: Emphasize the role of power imbalances between men and women in shaping patterns of violence.
- Consider the Social and Cultural Context: Acknowledge the role of social norms, cultural beliefs, and institutional structures in perpetuating violence against women.

Various examples of Gender-Sensitive Policies that incorporate these factors are:

- The Istanbul Convention: This international treaty provides a comprehensive framework for preventing and combating violence against women. It emphasizes the need for gender-sensitive policies and interventions, including legal reforms, support services for victims, and public awareness campaigns.
- The Beijing Platform for Action: This landmark document adopted at the Fourth World Conference on Women outlines a comprehensive agenda for advancing gender equality and women's rights. It calls for the elimination of all forms of violence against women, including domestic violence, sexual assault, and trafficking.



Various Feminist theories emphasize the social, political, and economic inequalities that contribute to women's subordination and their vulnerability to violence such as:

- **Feminist Legal Theory:** This perspective examines how legal systems can perpetuate gender inequality and fail to adequately protect women from violence. It highlights the need for legal reforms that address the specific needs and rights of women.
- Intersectionality Theory: This framework emphasizes the interconnected nature of various forms of oppression, such as sexism, racism, and homophobia. It highlights how these forms of oppression intersect to create unique experiences of violence for women from marginalized communities.
- **Ecofeminism:** This perspective links the oppression of women to the exploitation of the environment. It argues that both women and the environment are victims of patriarchal systems that prioritize profit and power over human well-being and environmental sustainability.

## **Conclusion**

The inherent biases in data gathering methodologies have significant implications for our understanding of victimization and the development of effective responses. By failing to account for the unique experiences and vulnerabilities of women, these biases perpetuate a distorted view of reality and hinder our ability to address the root causes of violence against women.

To address these biases, it is crucial to adopt more inclusive and intersectional approaches to data collection. This includes:

- **Developing gender-specific data collection tools:** These tools should be designed to capture the unique experiences and vulnerabilities of women, including specific forms of victimization such as domestic violence, sexual assault, and cyberstalking.
- Addressing underreporting and misclassification: Efforts should be made to increase women's trust in law enforcement and to improve the accuracy of crime reporting.



- Incorporating intersectionality: Data collection methods should account for the intersectional nature of victimization, including factors such as race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic status.
- Focusing on societal and structural factors: Data collection should not only focus on individual-level factors but also on the broader societal and structural factors that contribute to women's vulnerability.

By addressing these biases, we can gain a more accurate and comprehensive understanding of victimization and develop more effective strategies to prevent and respond to violence against women.

## References

Alesina, Alberto, Arnand Devleeschauwer, William Easterly, Sergio Kurlat, and Romain Wacziarg (2003). Fractionalization. Journal of Economic Growth 8(2), 155–194.

Kubrin, Charis E., Ronald Weitzer (2003). New Directions in Social Disorganization Theory. Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency 49(4): 374-402.

Levitt, Steven D. (2004). Understanding why crime fell in the 1990s: four factors that explain the decline and six that do not. Journal of Economic Perspectives 18, 163–190.

Nolan, J., Cronin, S. W., McDevitt, J., & Farrell, A. (2004). Learning to see hate crimes: A framework for understanding and clarifying ambiguities in bias crime classification. Criminal Justice Studies, 17(1), 91-105.

Jenness, V., & Grattet, R. (2005). The law-in-between: The effects of organizational perviousness on the policing of hate crime. Social Problems, 52(3), 337-359.

Weisburd, S., & Levin, B. (1994). "On the basis of sex": Recognizing gender-based bias crimes. Stanford Law and Policy Review, 5(2), 21-48.

Shively, M., McDevitt, J., Cronin, S. W., & Balboni, J. (2001). Understanding the prevalence and characteristics of bias crime in Massachusetts high schools. Boston: Executive Office of Public Safety, Governor's Task Force on Hate Crime.

Jenness, V., & Grattet, R. (2001). Making hate a crime: From social movement to law enforcement. New York: Russell Sage.



## Sagacity: A Multidisciplinary Research Journal ISSN: 2583-7540 June - Dec 2024, Vol. 3, No.2.

Hopkins, Matt (2002). Crimes against Businesses: The Way Forward for Future Research. British Journal of Criminology, 42(4): 782-797.